



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/554,333	08/07/2000	Mark Parrington	1038-1030 MI	1862

7590 06/03/2004

Sim & McBurney  
330 University Avenue 6th Floor  
ONTARIO, M5G 1R7  
CANADA

EXAMINER

VOGEL, NANCY S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1636

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/554,333

Applicant(s)

PARRINGTON ET AL

Examiner

Nancy T. Vogel

Art Unit

1636

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 07 August 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/11/01.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Sequence compliance***

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 because there are sequences set forth in the specification which apparently not listed and which do not have sequence identifiers. See Figure 9. It is often convenient to identify sequences in figures by amending the Brief Description of the Drawings section (see MPEP 244.02). If the sequences are already present in the sequence listing, it would be remedial to amend the Brief Description of the Drawings to include the appropriate sequence identifiers. If the sequences are not present in the sequence listing, a new sequence listing including the missing sequence(s) is required. Applicants are required to comply with all of the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 - 1.825. Any response to this office action that fails to meet all of these requirements will be considered non-responsive. The nature of the noncompliance with the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.821 through 1.825 did not preclude the examination of the application on the merits, the results of which are communicated below.

### ***Claim Objections***

Claim1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "sequence" is misspelled in line 7. Appropriate correction is required. The claims should be carefully reviewed for typographical errors.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 8, 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dubensky et al. (WO 96/17072) (cited by applicants).

Dubensky et al. disclose expression vectors comprising a DNA molecule complementary to at least a part of an alphavirus RNA genome, which DNA molecule comprises the complement of the complete alphavirus RNA genome regions which are essential for replication of the said alphavirus RNA and further comprises a heterologous DNA sequence capable of expression in a host, said heterologous DNA sequence being inserted into a region of the DNA molecule which is non-essential to replication thereof, and the DNA molecule being placed under transcriptional control of a promoter sequence functional in said host, wherein at least one heterologous splice site is provided in the DNA molecule to prevent aberrant RNA splicing of the alphavirus (see page 11, line 30 – page 12, line 5). The reference discloses that any intron with splice sites, may be used, and further discloses advantages for use of an intron with

splice sites (see page 64 line 33-page 65, line 9). The reference discloses that the vector contains the viral proteins essential for replication (page 14, lines 17-35). The reference discloses such vectors comprising a promoter placed upstream of the 5'-end of the DNA molecule such that the transcript has an authentic 5' end (see page 11, lines 19-23). The reference discloses that the promoter may be a CMV promoter (see page 14, lines 1-8). The reference discloses the use of the hepatitis delta ribozyme sequence at the 3'- end of the DNA (see page 84, lines 24-26). The reference discloses that nucleic acid sequences of any of the alphaviruses, including Semliki Forest virus, may be used in the vectors (see page 4, lines 30-35).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 1636

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dubensky et al. (WO 96/17072) in view of Li et al. (WO96/40945) (cited by applicants).

Dubensky et al. is cited for reasons set forth above.

The difference between the reference and the claims is that the intron contained in the vector is the rabbit beta-globin intron II.

However, Li et al. disclose the rabbit beta-globin intron II and its use in an expression vector for the prevention of aberrant splicing (page 22, line 22 through page 23, line 24).

It would have been obvious to have utilized the rabbit beta-globin intron disclosed by Li et al., in the vector disclosed by Dubensky et al., since both references disclose expression vectors containing heterologous introns for the prevention of aberrant splicing. One would have been motivated to utilized the rabbit beta-globin intron II since it was well known in the art, and since Li et al. disclose its usefulness in the expression vectors for the prevention of aberrant splicing.

### ***Double Patenting***

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 4-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,475,780. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent claims recite that the heterologous DNA sequence included in the claimed vector is a paramyxovirus protein encoding nucleic acid, and the instant claims recite that the heterologous DNA sequence may be any heterologous DNA; therefore, the conflicting patent claims a species encompassed by the genus claimed in the instant application. The species claimed in the conflicting patent anticipates the instantly claimed genus, and a patent to the genus would, necessarily, extend the rights of the species should the genus issue as a patent after the species.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 and by dependence, claims 10 and 11 are vague and indefinite in the recitation of "at least one heterologous splice set provided in the complement of the DNA molecule to permit aberrant RNA splicing of one to generate perfect splice junctions in the alphavirus". It is not clear what is intended by this phrase, since: it is not clear what a "splice set" is, whether "permit" is intended, and what the phrase "RNA splicing of one" is intended to mean. Clarification is required. Furthermore, it is not clear whether the recitation of "set" in line 2 of claim 10 is intended, or whether it is a typographical error. In the interest of compact prosecution, claim 9 has been examined as if it read "at least one heterologous splice site provided in the complement of the DNA molecule to prevent aberrant RNA splicing of the alphavirus and to generate perfect splice junctions in the alphavirus; and" at lines 21-25. Claim 10 has been examined as if "set" were "site".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nancy T. Vogel whose telephone number is (571) 272-0780. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 - 3:00, Monday - Friday.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Irem Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.



Art Unit: 1636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5/24/04



**JAMES KETTER**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**